

EP 000565956 A2
OCT 1993

★ KONL Q38 93-329342/42 ★ EP 565956-A2
Rope suspension arrangement for elevator car - has pulleys
diverting hoist rope from traction sheave to lift car, and includes
counterweight (Eng)

KONE ELEVATOR GMBH 92.04.14 92FI-001682

(93.10.20) B66B 11/08, 15/04

93.03.31 93EP-105367 R(AT BE CH DE DK ES FR GB GR IE IT LI LU
MC NL PT SE)


A traction sheave (3) driven by motor (1) has rope groove accepting
hoist rope (4) attached at one end to lift car (6) and the other to
counterweight (7) both operating in lift shaft (5). The hoist rope (4)
passes over diverting pulleys (8,9) so located that their planes of
rotation lie on different sides of the plane of rotation of the traction
sheave (3).

The angles of departure of hoist rope (4) from the traction sheave
(3) to each diverting pulley (8,9) are equal. The horizontal distance
apart is such that the hoist rope (4) will remain in the pulley groove.

ADVANTAGE - Offers lift hoist system with constant friction
between traction sleeve and hoist rope, gives minimum deflection of
hoist rope to increase operational life of rope and also reduces axial
loads on traction sleeve permitting use of lower powered drive motor
and lighter lift cars. (8pp Dwg.No.1/6)

CT: No-SR.Pub

N93-254285



© 1993 DERWENT PUBLICATIONS LTD.

Derwent House, 14 Great Queen Street, London WC2B 5DF England, UK

US Office: Derwent Inc., 1313 Dolley Madison Blvd., Suite 401, McLean VA 22101, USA

Unauthorised copying of this abstract not permitted



DERWENT
Scientific and Patent Information

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

THIS PAGE BLANK (USPTO)

(19)



Europäisches Patentamt
European Patent Office
Office européen des brevets




(11) Publication number:

0 565 956 A2

(12)

EUROPEAN PATENT APPLICATION(21) Application number: **93105367.2**(51) Int. Cl.⁵: **B66B 11/08, B66B 15/04**(22) Date of filing: **31.03.93**(30) Priority: **14.04.92 FI 921682**(43) Date of publication of application:
20.10.93 Bulletin 93/42(84) Designated Contracting States:
**AT BE CH DE DK ES FR GB GR IE IT LI LU MC
NL PT SE**(71) Applicant: **KONE Elevator GmbH**
Rathausstrasse 1
CH-6340 Baar(CH)(72) Inventor: **De Jong, Johannes**
Kauhajoenkuja 1
SF-04430 Järvenpää(FI)
Inventor: **Alasentie, Pentti**
Lähderranta 2 T.
SF-02720 Espoo(FI)(74) Representative: **Zipse + Habersack**
Kemnatenstrasse 49
D-80639 München (DE)(54) **Rope suspension arrangement.**

(57) Traction sheave elevator consisting of a drive machine (1) and, coupled with it, a traction sheave (3) provided with a rope groove (2), the hoisting rope (4) running over the traction sheave, an elevator car (6) and its counterweight (7) suspended on the hoisting rope and moving in an elevator shaft (5), said elevator using at least two diverting pulleys (8,9) causing the hoisting rope going to the traction sheave (3) and the hoisting rope coming from the traction sheave (3) to run crosswise with respect to each other, the diverting pulleys and the traction sheave being so placed that the planes of rotation of the diverting pulleys (8,9) lie on different sides of the plane of rotation of the traction sheave (3).

**Fig.1****EP 0 565 956 A2**

The present invention relates to a traction sheave elevator consisting of a drive machine and a traction sheave coupled with it, said traction sheave being provided with a rope groove, the hoisting rope running over the traction sheave, an elevator car and its counterweight, the elevator car being suspended on the hoisting rope and moving in an elevator shaft, said elevator using at least one diverting pulley so as to cause the hoisting rope going to the traction sheave and the hoisting rope coming from the traction sheave to run crossways.

A similar traction sheave elevator is previously known e.g. from German patent publication 818 250. In this known solution, the angle of contact is $\frac{3}{4}$ of the circumference of the sheave, i.e. 270° . However, this solution has several drawbacks. It is rendered practically unsuitable by the fact that the cross-over point of the ropes lies too close to the traction sheave. The length of free rope between the cross-over point and the point of contact with the traction sheave is only equal to the radius of the traction sheave. With traction sheaves of the size normally used, the ropes must be strongly deviated from the plane of the traction sheave to prevent attrition between them. The deviation or rope angle thus produced becomes very large. In practice, a rope angle of 3° is already large enough to cause so much wear of the traction sheave and hoisting rope that it cannot be tolerated at all in passenger elevators. To reduce the rope angle sufficiently, the diameter of the traction sheave in the German patent publication 818 250 should be twice as large as normal. This again would result in the doubling of the secondary moment constituting the load of the elevator gear. Therefore, the weight of the required traction sheave and gear would be increased, and a considerably larger drive motor would be needed. Consequently, the costs of the machinery would be almost doubled.

Previously known are also various rope suspension systems designed for geared light elevators. For example, FI patent 56813 presents a traction sheave elevator which uses a rope suspension arrangement employing at least one diverting pulley to cause the hoisting rope going to the traction sheave and the rope coming from it to run crosswise, and in which the angle of contact between the traction sheave and the hoisting rope is in the range $210\text{--}250^\circ$ so that the free length of rope from the cross-over point to the point of contact with the traction sheave is 1.9-0.7 times the diameter of the traction sheave. The traction sheave is mounted in a slightly inclined position to allow free passage of the ropes. However, a drawback is the angle between the ropes and the traction sheave, which produces a lateral pull and therefore a rapid wear of the ropes and rope

grooves.

Another similar rope suspension system is presented in GB patent publication 2 148 229, which additionally uses polyurethane inserts in the rope grooves. However, this is a bad solution, because the polyurethane is very soon worn out because of the lateral pull and the heat generated.

Previously known is also FI patent 84051, in which the tilt and roll angle of the traction sheave is 1.2 degrees. The traction sheave has a number of rope grooves corresponding to the number of hoisting ropes used, and the grooves are undercut with an undercut angle of $50\text{--}90^\circ$. The machine is mounted on a substantially horizontal bed and the attachment surface of the rear fixing parts of the motor as seen from the side of the traction sheave lies at a greater distance from the motor shaft than the attachment surface of the corresponding front fixing parts, so that when the motor is mounted on its horizontal bed, the motor shaft is inclined with respect to the horizontal plane and the traction sheave mounted on the shaft is correspondingly inclined with respect to the vertical plane. The bed and the fixing parts of the motor are so shaped that the whole machine can be rotated horizontally on its bed before being definitely fixed in place. The above-mentioned inventions have the following drawbacks:

- The bed is installed in a very straight position on the floor.
- Suspension of the car in constructions using one diverting pulley, in which case the ropes going downwards from the traction sheave should be installed in a perpendicular position, otherwise the angle will change as the car moves up.
- If there is one diverting pulley, the running direction of the bundle of ropes going down from the traction sheave must not change. However, this does take place when the load in the car changes as the reacting forces of the rubber pads in the machine bed change. With a 1:2 roping, the ropes are usually twisted, so this rope ratio is generally not possible.
- The tilt also creates the impression that the machine has been improperly installed, so less trained installers will try to correct the installation to remove the tilt, and customers also find it difficult to understand this circumstance.

The object of the present invention is to achieve an elevator rope suspension arrangement which is free of the drawbacks mentioned and in which the friction between the traction sheave and the ropes still remains the same and the ropes last longer than before. This object is accomplished by the present invention, which is characterized in that

the diverting pulleys and the traction sheave are so placed that the planes of rotation of the diverting pulleys lie on different sides of the plane of rotation of the traction sheave.

A preferred embodiment of the invention is characterized in that the shafts of the diverting pulleys lie in a direction parallel to that of the shaft of the traction sheave.

Another preferred embodiment of the invention is characterized in that the distances (T,U) between the planes of rotation are such that the ropes run from the traction sheave to each diverting pulley at equal angles of departure.

Thus, yet another preferred embodiment of the invention is characterized in that the angles of departure of the ropes from the traction sheave are equal but different in direction with respect to the traction sheave grooves.

A further preferred embodiment of the invention is characterized in that the horizontal distance between the points of departure of the rope on the circumference of the traction sheave and diverting pulley is such that the hoisting rope will remain in the groove of the diverting pulley.

The invention provides several important advantages as compared with previously known techniques, for example the fact that the radial load imposed on the traction sheave is less than half the radial load in fast elevators using DW suspension. The rope also undergoes fewer deflections than in DW suspension. Furthermore, the invention allows the use of lighter elevator cars and substantially smaller motors, reducing the energy consumption, etc. When 1:2 roping is used, larger loads are possible with the same motor size.

In the following, the invention is described in detail by referring to the attached drawings, in which

- Fig. 1 presents the traction sheave elevator of the invention in side view.
- Fig. 2 is a diagram of the hoisting rope arrangement of the traction sheave elevator of fig. 1.
- Fig. 3 presents a cross-section of the rope groove of the elevator of the invention.
- Fig. 4 presents the hoisting rope arrangement, in which the distance A is visible.
- Fig. 5 presents a top view of the machine room equipment.
- Fig. 6 presents the angles of the ropes departing from the traction sheave.

Figure 1 shows an elevator car 6 mounted on guide rails 10 in an elevator shaft 5 and moved by means of a hoisting rope 4. The drive machine 1 of the elevator is placed on top of the elevator shaft. Coupled with the drive machine 1 is a traction sheave 3 provided with a rope groove 2. The

hoisting rope 4 coming from the elevator car 6 runs via point b on the left-hand side of diverting pulley 9 as seen from the front, to the traction sheave 3 and via point d on the right-hand side of the traction sheave 3 back down and crossways, further via point a on the left-hand side of diverting pulley 8 to the counterweight 7, so that the two portions of the rope 4 form a cross-over without touching each other. In this crosswise arrangement of the hoisting rope 4, the hoisting rope 4 going to the traction sheave 3 and the hoisting rope 4 coming from the traction sheave 3 are at an equal angle α . The diverting pulleys 8 and 9 are located in the machine room 11 above the elevator shaft 5. If necessary, they can also be placed in the shaft.

Figure 2 shows the rope arrangement of the elevator. Here, rope S1 runs via point b on the circumference of diverting pulley 9 to the traction sheave 3 via point c and further via point d crosswise to diverting pulley 8 via point a. The ropes coming from the traction sheave 3 form an angle of size α .

Figure 3 presents a cross-section of the traction sheave 3 of the elevator of the invention, showing the undercut β of the rope groove 2. The sheave may have several rope grooves 2, depending on the number of ropes. Fig. 3 shows two rope grooves 2.

Figure 4 is a lateral view of the drive machine 1 and the traction sheave 3 and diverting pulleys 8 and 9, showing how they are placed in the machine room 11. The figure also shows the measure A, which is determined by the points c and b on the left-hand edge of the circumference of the traction sheave 3 and diverting pulley 9. These are the points at which the rope departs from the sheave. Measure A is the horizontal distance between these points, and it must be such that the rope will remain in the groove 2. As seen from the side, the diverting pulleys 8 and 9 are at the same height.

Figure 5 presents a top view of the machine room equipment. The figure shows how the diverting pulleys 8 and 9 and the traction sheave 3 are located with respect to each other. The drive machine 1 lies next to the traction sheave 3. Diverting pulley 8 is removed by distance U from the assumed middle line of the plane of rotation of the traction sheave 3 towards the machine, while diverting pulley 9 is removed by distance T away from the machine. The shafts of the diverting pulleys 8 and 9 lie parallel to each other. In the machine room 11, the drive machine 1 may be placed on the left or on the right, depending e.g. on the where the elevator shaft is located in the building.

Figure 6 shows the traction sheave 3 and the diverting pulleys 8 and 9, the angles α formed by the diverting pulleys in relation to the traction

sheave 3, as well as the distances T and U from the assumed middle line. Points c and d are the points on the circumference of the traction sheave 3 where the ropes are separated from the traction sheave 3, and the hoisting ropes 4 run further across each other, without touching each other, over the diverting pulleys 8 and 9. The rope coming from diverting pulley 9 meets the traction sheave 3 at point c and leaves it at point d and runs further to diverting pulley 8. The diverting pulleys 8 and 9 form equal angles α with respect to the traction sheave 3. The angles α are equal in magnitude but different in direction.

It is obvious to a person skilled in the art that different embodiments of the invention are not restricted to the examples described above, but that they may instead be varied within the scope of the following claims. For example, the placement of the drive machine 1 in the machine room is not important, because the hoisting rope can be guided in any way by means of the diverting pulleys. Therefore, the drive machine may also be placed at the lower part of the elevator shaft or at the side of the shaft on any floor. The essential feature is the fact that the arrangement of the invention produces a large friction between the traction sheave and the hoisting rope of a traction sheave elevator. As a result, the weight of the whole elevator equipment can be reduced. Naturally, it is still possible to use several hoisting ropes and rope grooves.

Claims

1. Traction sheave elevator consisting of a drive machine (1) and, coupled with it, a traction sheave (3) provided with a rope groove (2), the hoisting rope (4) running over the traction sheave, and of an elevator car (6) and its counterweight (7) suspended on the hoisting rope and moving in an elevator shaft (5), said elevator using at least two diverting pulleys (8,9) causing the hoisting rope going to the traction sheave (3) and the hoisting rope coming from the traction sheave (3) to run crosswise with respect to each other, **characterized** in that the diverting pulleys and the traction sheave are so placed that the planes of rotation of the diverting pulleys (8,9) lie on different sides of the plane of rotation of the traction sheave (3).
2. Traction sheave elevator according to claim 1, **characterized** in that the shafts of the diverting pulleys (8,9) lie in a direction parallel to that of the shaft of the traction sheave (3).
3. Traction sheave elevator according to claim 2, **characterized** in that the distances (T,U) be-

tween the planes of rotation are such that the angles of departure (α) of the hoisting rope from the traction sheave (3) to each diverting pulley (8,9) are equal.

4. Traction sheave elevator according to claim 3, **characterized** in that the angles of departure of the ropes from the traction sheave (3) are equal in magnitude but different in direction with respect to the groove (2) of the traction sheave (3).
5. Traction sheave elevator according to any one of the preceding claims, **characterized** in that the diverting pulleys (8,9) are so placed relative to the traction sheave (3) that the horizontal distance between the points (c,b) of departure of the rope (4) on the circumference of the traction sheave (3) and diverting pulley (9) is such that the hoisting rope will remain in the groove (2) of the diverting pulley (9).




Fig.1

THIS PAGE BLANK (USPTO)




Fig. 2




Fig. 3

THIS PAGE BLANK (USPTO)




Fig. 4




Fig. 5

THIS PAGE BLANK (USPTO)




Fig. 6

THIS PAGE BLANK (USPTO)

**This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning
Operations and is not part of the Official Record**

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:

- ☒ **BLACK BORDERS**
- ☒ **IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES**
- ☒ **FADED TEXT OR DRAWING**
- ☐ **BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING**
- ☐ **SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES**
- ☒ **COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS**
- ☐ **GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS**
- ☐ **LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT**
- ☐ **REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY**
- ☐ **OTHER:** _____

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.

THIS PAGE BLANK (USPTO)